

OXFORD DEMOCRAT,  
PUBLISHED EVERY TUESDAY BY  
Geo. W. Elliot,  
EDITOR AND PROPRIETOR.

TERMS:—One dollar and fifty cents in advance; one dollar and seventy-five cents at the end of six months; two dollars at the end of the year, to which twenty-five cents will be added if payment be delayed beyond six months.

ADVERTISEMENTS inserted on reasonable terms, the proprietor not being accountable for any error beyond the amount charged for the advertisement.

BOOKS & JOB PRINTING  
Executed with neatness and despatch.

POETRY.

### The Rising Monument.

BY HANNAH F. GOULD.

Rise in thy solemn grandeur, calm and slow,  
As well befits thy purpose and thy place.  
Great Speaker! rise not suddenly, to show  
The earth forever sacred at thy base.

Strong as the rocky frame-work of the globe,  
Proportional fair, in altitude sublime,  
With freedom's glory round thee as a robe,  
Rise gently—then defy the power of time.

To future ages, from thy lofty site,  
Speak in thy mighty eloquence, and tell  
That where thou art, on Bunker's hallowed height,  
Our WARRIORS and his gallant brethren fell.

Say, it was here the vital current flowed,  
Purpling the turf, amid the mortal strife  
For man's great birthright, from the breasts that glow'd  
With love of country more than love of life.

'Thou hast the growth of blood, that, gushing warm  
From patriot bosoms, set their spirits free.  
All who behold, shall venerate thy form,  
And bow before thy genius—LIBERTY.

Here fell the hero and his brave compeers,  
Who fought and died to break a people's chain.  
The place is sacred to Columbia's tears  
Poured o'er the victims for a nation's slain.

Yet from her starry brow a glory streams,  
Turning to gems those holy drops of grief,  
As after evening showers, the morn's clear beams  
Show diamonds hung on grass, and flower and leaf.

Upright and firm, as were the patriot souls  
That from thy native spot arose to God,  
Stand thou and hold, long as our planet rolls,  
This last high place by freedom's martyrs trod.

Let thy majestic shadow walk the ground,  
Calm as the sun, and constant as his light;  
And by the moon, amid the dew be found  
The sentinel who guards it through the night.

And may the air around thee ever be  
To heaven-born Liberty as vital breath;  
But, like the breeze that sweeps the Upas tree,  
To Bondage and Oppression, certain death!

A beauteous prospect spreads for thy survey:  
City, and dome, and spire look up to thee.  
The solemn forest and the mountain grey,  
Stand distant to salute thy majesty.

And ocean, in his numbers deep and strong,  
While the bright shore beneath thy ken he lavens,  
Will sing to thee an everlasting song  
Of freedom, with his never conquered waves.

Rise, then, and stand unshaken till the skies  
Above thee are about to pass away.  
But, when the dead around thee are to rise,  
Melt in the burning splendors of the day!

For then will He, "whose right it is to reign"  
Who hath on earth a kingdom pure to save,  
Come with his angels, calling up the slain  
To freedom, and annihilate the grave.

FOREIGN.

From the Southwestern Sentinel.

### EVIDENCES OF THE

Character of the present Political Contest.

Whig policy first shows itself at Harrisburg. The favorite of the party rejected, and the non-committal candidate put forward. No declaration of principles made at Harrisburg. The example followed by the Whigs all over the Union. Same policy pursued by the Whig papers. Difficulties of this plan of operations. Occurrence in regard to the Presidential Candidate. Incredible blunders of the Confidential Committee. Harrison's former opinions regarding a U. S. Bank. The game that is played with the Abolitionists. Harrison spoken of not as a man, but as a candidate. Danger of electing as President one who follows bad advice.

I propose to furnish you proof, that the present great political contest is, on the part of the whig leaders, not fairly conducted; that they hold back the true questions at issue; that despairing, as it would seem, to convince the reason of the people, and to induce them deliberately to approve the policy of the whig party, they seek by every means in their power to avoid commitment on important points; and to amuse and distract public attention from these to a thousand other matters, either trifling in themselves, or having little or nothing to do with the question before us.

Let us begin at the beginning; that is, with the Harrisburg Convention.

General Harrison was the nominee. And for what reason? Was it because he was considered a man of more talent than Daniel Webster or Henry Clay? Certainly not; every one knows, that he is much inferior in talents to those great statesmen. Was it because he is a greater favorite with the whig party than Henry Clay? Certainly not. No one denies that Mr. Clay is the

# Oxford Democrat

Volume 8.

Paris, Maine, Tuesday, October 6, 1840.

Number 8.

first choice of two thirds—of three fourths—of the whig party. Why, then, was a man of inferior talent to Henry Clay, and less popular with his own party, preferred by that party as a candidate for President? For one reason only, as the Whigs themselves will not deny, because they thought it would be easier to elect General Harrison than to elect Henry Clay.

Now, why is it easier? Because, with Henry Clay as a candidate, the Whigs would have been compelled to fight the battle fairly; to come out from among the bushes; to make it a contest of principles, rather than of men. Every man knows Henry Clay's opinions. He is the advocate of a National Bank. He wishes the Government to regulate the currency. He is a friend of Banks in general, and of Bank-chartering. He is not a hard money man. He favors an extensive system of internal improvement. He approves a high tariff. In a word, he is an uncompromising, plain-spoken, thorough-going Whig. No man can mistake his political principles, or conceal them. He has spoken out boldly against Jackson and all his measures. He has denounced the Abolitionists. In short, had he been a candidate, the non-committal game could not have been played at all.

If the American people in their hearts approved Whig principles, no man could defeat Henry as candidate for the Presidency. And, had there been any chance of success except by the non-committal game no one but Henry Clay would have been the choice of the Harrisburg Convention. When they nominated General Harrison, every experienced politician understood the move at once. The non-committal flag was hoisted. The man was put forward; the principles were held back. The question was made to be, not whether the whigs should get into power, and their principles prevail in the national councils, but whether the "hero of Tippecanoe" should take possession of the "white house."

Talent, therefore, was set aside. Personal preferences were mortified. Henry Clay, the plain-spoken, was passed by; and Gen. Harrison, the uncommitted, was put forth. Thus the cautious game began; and in the same spirit hate the Whigs played it ever since.

The great opposition party assembled at Harrisburg gave to the world no address, no resolutions, no declarations of their principles. Was not this strange? No. It was like every thing else they have done. It was the beginning of the bush-fighting. The whig convention throughout the country took the hint; and with very few exceptions, they were as silent in regard to principles as the Harrisburg delegates. They had noise and show and excitement; music to please, banners to amuse, spectacles to tickle the fancy. But as for putting pen to paper, that they took good care to avoid. It might embarrass and commit them.

The Whig papers, too, followed shrewdly enough in the same lead. They could not, indeed, like the Harrisburg Convention, say nothing. But they did the next thing to it; they resolved to say nothing to the point. The U. S. Bank—the great question—their darling measure and true object—was a dangerous subject to touch upon; so they let it rest. The banks and exclusive bank-chartering were becoming unpopular; so they said little about them. Internal Improvements, here in Indiana, were even more ticklish ground yet. These and similar subjects were not available, and therefore, were for the most part, passed by and hushed up; and the Whig editors cast about for something else to talk of and fill their columns and get up an excitement about; for the old stories of defalcations and leg-treasury, and even the Cuba bloodhounds, were getting worn out, and were hardly worth hawking about any longer. The Whigs were in a manner, compelled to raise something new; and something new they did raise, in good sooth; they raised the cry of log cabins and hard cider, mingled with lamentations over Gen. Harrison's poverty, and loud shouts for his military fame.—What these cries had to do with the great questions of national policy which divide the United States, and must soon be decided for good or for evil, these newspaper editors did think it necessary to explain.

There were two difficulties in carrying out this ingenious Whig plan of the present political campaign. The first was, that the American people, on whom these tricks were played, though they may be carried away for a time by idle cries, and empty shows, never stray long from the paths of reason and reflection. Their sober second thought, as was justly said of them by one who knew them well, their President, is seldom wrong and always efficient. The second was, that in a large party like that of the Whigs, united by no

one common sentiment except opposition to the administration, some blunderers will, now and then, forget themselves, and as the phrase is, "let the cat out the bag."

This happened by over caution in regard to General Harrison himself. After they had got over the Harrisburg convention without an address, and the various State and lesser Conventions had taken the hint and kept prudently silent, it only remained to see to it that General Harrison did not commit himself and his party.

To effect this object, soon after the Harrisburg convention had adjourned, three gentlemen of the Whig party, D. Gwynne, J. C. Wright, and O. M. Spencer, were either chosen by General Harrison himself, or else constituted themselves, a Confidential Committee, to make replies for General Harrison, to such enquiries as the people have been in the habit of putting to every candidate for the Presidency.

When this committee was appointed, or appointed themselves, we cannot exactly determine; but they replied to a letter of enquiry addressed to Gen. Harrison by some of the most respectable citizens of North Carolina, dated Wadesboro', Dec. 28, 1839. Their reply to these gentlemen was almost identically the same as that afterwards sent to the Oswego association.

Now, if this committee had merely said, that they were requested by Gen. Harrison, who had not time to reply to all letters addressed to him, to do this in his name; and that Gen. Harrison referred all enquiries to his former letters on these subjects (specifying them,) the thing would not indeed have looked well; but at last it might, with some shadow of plausibility, have been defended.

But, with a degree of blundering honesty very much out of character with the plan of the Whig campaign, they said a good deal more. They expressly called themselves Gen. Harrison's "Confidential Committee." Then they said to enquirers:—

"If the policy observed by the committee should not meet your approbation, you will attribute the error rather to us and his immediate advisers, than to General Harrison. That policy is, to make no further declaration of his principles for the public eye, whilst occupying his present position."

This was most imprudently barefaced; and it is truly strange that men of common sense should not have seen and felt the effect it was sure to produce on a Republican people. They say plainly and without evasion:

"That the policy of our party is, that our candidate shall not, during this canvass, express his political sentiments to his fellow citizens. If you do not like this policy—if you think it improper or unRepublican—blame us who gave the advice, and not Gen. Harrison, who took it."

Without going over to monarchical England, where the rule is, "The king can do no wrong; the ministers only are to blame," it would be difficult to match such a sentiment as that. Who can seriously believe, that the American people will select for their President a man, who will first receive from a foolish committee bad advice, and then allow them to take the blame on themselves when he follows it?

But if even that was not plain enough, this blundering Committee show their hands more imprudently still. They say:

"The Committee are strengthened in regard to the propriety of this policy, that no new issue be made to the public, from the consideration that the National Convention deemed it impolitic at the then crisis to publish any general declaration of the views of the Great Opposition Party; and certainly the policy at the present times remains unaltered."

This was only wanted to expose to us the whole plan of battle. The Harrisburg Convention (so the Committee reminds us) thought it impolitic to tell the people what the views of the Whig party were. General Harrison was to follow up the same policy, and to say nothing about the views of his party either. His committee advised him to this course, and he agreed to it.

In all seriousness, in all candor, I ask the honest portion of the Whig party to say, what other interpretation but the above, they CAN put upon the express words of the Confidential Committee. Of course, some sort of excuse must be made for saying nothing. The excuse is:

"The General's views in regard to all the important and exciting questions of the day have heretofore been given to the public fully and explicitly; and those views, whether connected with constitutional or other questions of very general interest, have undergone no change."

Let us see whether this is a good reason for silence or a mere excuse. The chief questions asked of General Harrison were his opinions in

regard to a U. S. Bank and in regard to the receipt and reference of Abolition petitions. What has General Harrison ever told us in regard to the subject?

First as regards a U. S. Bank, his letters to Sherrod Williams, dated May 1, 1836, to which we are always referred, says:

"The question then for me to answer, is, whether under the circumstances you state, if elected to the office of President, I would sign an act to charter another Bank. I answer I would, if it were clearly ascertained that the public interest in relation to the collection and disbursement of the revenue would materially suffer without one, and there were unequivocal manifestations of public opinion in its favor. I think, however, the experiment should be fairly tried, to ascertain whether the financial affairs of the Government cannot be as well carried on without the aid of a National Bank. If it is not necessary for that purpose, it does not appear to me that one can be constitutionally chartered. There is no construction which I can give the Constitution which would authorize it on the ground of affording facilities for commerce. The measure, if adopted, must have for its object the carrying into effect (facilitating at least the exercise of) some one of the powers positively granted to the Federal Government."

This is what General Harrison "has heretofore given to the public" in regard to a United States Bank. He says he considers such a Bank unconstitutional, unless it be necessary to carry on the fiscal affairs of the Government. Very good. He says further, that the experiment of doing without one should be fairly tried. Very proper and very true. This General Harrison said in 1836. What does he say in 1840. Nothing. This leaves us entirely in the dark.

For observe, in 1836, the United States Bank charter expired. General Harrison wished the experiment fairly tried of doing without one. Has it been fairly tried yet? If so, has it succeeded or failed? General Harrison leaves us to guess out that. Or, is he willing the Independent Treasury Bill should pass, so that the experiment might really be fairly tried? We cannot tell. He will not inform us. He leaves us to grope about in absolute uncertainty. We may imagine almost any thing we please about his opinions. We may imagine that General Harrison now, in 1840, thinks that the experiment has been tried, and has failed. Or, we may imagine, that he is candid enough to admit, that until the Independent Treasury Bill, with its various checks and guards for safe-keeping of the public money, has been passed and has been in operation for a year or two, the experiment of doing without a United States Bank has not been fairly tried. But this is all imagination. We know nothing about it. We can know nothing about it. The Confidential Committee has declared:

"The policy is, that General Harrison make no further declaration of his principles for the public eye."

And the result of this policy is, that, at this moment, the Whig candidate for the Presidency has declined to express an opinion on the very first and greatest and most important measure which now divides the contending parties. There is no other political question on which the People of the United States feel so deep an interest as this of the United States Bank; and yet one of their candidates for the office of Chief Magistrate led by bad advisers, refuses to commit himself either on one side or the other. And these bad advisers, after thus stepping in between the people and the Presidential candidate, and inducing that candidate to remain silent when respectfully asked his political opinions, turn round to the people and tell them, that though the Whig candidate, conforming to the policy of his party, will not answer one of their questions, yet they hope "their friends every where will receive the nomination of General Harrison with something akin to generous confidence!"

If General Harrison were an angel of light, and yet put himself into the hands of such advisers as that, they would blast his prospects forever to the chair of Chief Magistrate of a free and Independent people. The most singular part of the whole affair is, how the Committee could ever have expected, by so shallow a pretence, to mislead the people.—They say General Harrison cannot reply to the "almost innumerable calls" for his opinions. Very well. But could not he reply to one, and cause that one to be published? Has he not leisure for that? Yes, he has, of course. But then "the policy is, to make no further declaration of his principles for the public eye." Then why make the excuse about the innumerable calls, when they had resolved from the first, not to submit General Harrison to reply even to one of them?

They will not even allow him to put forth his present opinions on abolition. The question of

the receipt and reference of abolition petitions is a new question within these four years; General Harrison never replied to it; and his Confidential Committee say he shall not now. Is it wonderful that various surmises in regard to General Harrison's real sentiments on abolition should have got afloat? Who is to blame for it but the Confidential Committee? One word from his pen would have settled the question at once; but that one word the Committee forbade to be written.

What must we conclude from all this? Is it possible, indeed, that General Harrison is not at heart an Abolitionist. But I do believe, and every man may clearly see, that General Harrison, or rather his friends, have resolved, by silence and a non-committal policy, to gain the entire abolition vote of the United States, if they can. This is one portion of the Whig campaign plan, concocted at Harrisburg.

In all this I intend, and have expressed, nothing personal or offensive towards General Harrison. He is, I say it with respect, an old man; and has often fought for his country. In his various battles, I have no reason to doubt that he did his best; and with regard to his military skill, I offer no opinion, being unversed in such matters, not even holding the commission of Captain of militia. That the same party who once declared that "war, pestilence and famine" were all preferable to the rule of a military chieftain, should now exalt their candidate to the skies; as the greatest and bravest of all modern warriors, is only another proof that they seek to work on the sympathies of the people, instead of addressing their reason; and that their fixed plan is, to divert public attention from the true points at issue.

If, then, I have spoken freely of General Harrison's recent course of action, it is because he is the Presidential candidate, whose public doings are public property. Personally, I see little fairness in attaching blame to him. At his age—now that he has reached the limit of three score years and ten, promised as the ordinary term of man's life, it should excite neither surprise nor animadversion, that he gives way to bad advisers, and suffers them to mismanage his affairs, and prejudice his good name. This is but a small objection to General Harrison as a man; but it is not a most weighty objection to him as a President. Others are managing for him now; will not others manage for him at the white house, if he ever reaches it? He is unworthily advised at this moment, and he follows the advice. Who shall assure us that the same unworthy advice may not follow him into the cabinet? It is evident that the personal character of General Harrison, be it what it will, is no security for his public acts. His very friends can only defend him now by casting the blame on those whom he permits to determine his course of policy.

That policy—the policy of General Harrison's advisers and of the leaders of his party—have shown to be, in the strongest sense of the term, NON-COMMITTAL. It is the policy, which shrinks from the reason of the people, and appeals loudly to their fancy and their passions.—It is a dangerous policy, unless the mind of the people awake to perceive it.

Once fairly disclosed and distinguished, it loses its power. Therefore it is the duty of the honest politician to expose it. This I have ventured to do, without favor or party prejudice. In doing so, I have said much which has been already said by others. But truth will bear the repetition.

I venture to ask, even of the candid Whigs; a dispassionate perusal of these articles. If true they are worth the perusal. If erroneous, I should be sincerely glad to see their error pointed out.

R. D. O.

From the Harrisburg (Pa.) Magician.

### BRUTAL OUTRAGE.

#### More of the Dark "Reign of Terror."

While Col. Frazer, of Lancaster, was addressing the Democratic meeting in the court house at Lebanon, on Saturday evening last, some vile Federal miscreant threw a large stone into the back window, which was evidently aimed at the head of the speaker, and came within a few inches of doing its intended execution. The speaker however stood unhurt as well as undismayed amidst the missiles of the enemy, and proceeded in the fearless and honest discharge of his duty, acquiring renewed vigor from the fiendish attempts of the Federalists upon his life! Here, fellow Democrats, you have a renewed manifestation of the odious principles which characterize the Federal party. They are the same now as they were in 1799 and 1800, when Democratic editors were dragged by a hireling soldiery into the market houses and publicly HORSEWHIPPED, for daring to utter a syllable against the damning outrages of Federalism. Should Harrison succeed to the Presidency, his first act would doubtless be to recommend the REVIVAL of John Adams' GAG LAW, and thus "SHUT UP" the mouths of the COMMON PEOPLE, a matter which he publicly defended in the year 1800. If these things be done in the green tree, what will not be done in the dry! DEMOCRATS! AROUSE!! Behold the danger you are in of losing your liberties, if you will permit such men



to gain the reigns of government, and AROUSE, each and every one of you to a sense of duty, before it is too late! The time may come when the wicked aspirations of one of their wicked leaders, that "the ROOFS of the Democrats might be committed to the FLAMES and their FLESH to the EAGLES," may go into fulfillment!! Arouse, then, one and all, and defend your LIBERTIES!! DEFEND YOUR COUNTRY!! DEFEND YOURSELVES!!!!

From the Eastern Argus.

### The Object of Federalism.

The present condition of the Country, marks, it seems to us, one of those crises which sometimes occur in the progress of a nation, when its destinies become balanced, as it were, on the movements of an hour. The policy which the sagacious mind of Hamilton early fastened on the country—the policy of exclusive privileges—banking under national authority—a funded debt as a political machine—in a word, the attempt to reduce the great mass to a condition of unceasing toil for the benefit of a few, has now run its career. It has gone as far as it can go, without an undisguised infringement on equal rights, and a fundamental change in the popular character of our institutions. Henceforth, if Federalism is to prevail—the consummation of its policy will be an essential rescinding of the constitution—and the establishment of an aristocracy, to be succeeded at no distant day by an elective, if not hereditary monarchy.

Hitherto a large debt, growing out of two wars, has occasioned an excessive tariff so laid as to foster one branch of industry at the expense of the rest. The whole people, as consumers have been taxed for the benefit of a few. Rich manufacturing corporations have been fostered at the expense of humble, independent and individual industry. Still the payment of the national debt was the proper object for the revenue raised, though the measure was perverted into an unjust system of bounties and penalties—an incubus on the springs of national prosperity, and a fruitful source of heart burning and controversy. When the debt was paid—a law was passed gradually reducing the Tariff, and it reaches its lowest point in 1841.

Upon what pretext now can Federalists advocate a high tariff? We have no foreign or domestic debt to be paid. The expenses of Government do not require it. There is no object on which such a revenue can be constitutionally expended. Still the Federalists clamor for a tariff protective tariff. Their candidate is undoubtedly pledged to it. They must claim it, then, on the naked principle of a right to levy taxes on the whole people for the benefit of a few. Is not this ARISTOCRACY? Is not this in fact "A RESCINDING OF THE CONSTITUTION,"—a revolution as fundamental as if our Republican Government had been overthrown by force and an oligarchy established in its stead.

Again—The proceeds of a high tariff, besides discharging the national debt, were expended in making roads, canals, &c.—for the benefit of one section of the country at the expense of the rest. With the reduction of the revenue, these expenditures have essentially ceased. Federalism, however, clamors for their restoration. General Harrison is known to be favorable to that policy on the most extravagant scale. Upon what principle but ARISTOCRACY, could such a party be based, and how clearly must it be advocated on that ground alone—when taxes are levied solely to build up manufacturing monopolies, and to construct roads and canals in favored sections? Would not this be revolutionizing the Government from a Republican to an Oligarchy?

Again—A large debt for the Government to manage, and the means to be provided for its liquidation, Federalism made a pretext for a privileged corporation, chartered by Congress, under the name of a United States Bank. It was essentially a money-making, political monopoly, utterly destructive of equal rights—corrupting public morals, and armed with a ruinous control over the industry of the country and a just distribution of profits. There is now no public debt to manage. The experience of the last few years has demonstrated that neither the freedom nor industry of the citizen—neither the purity nor safety of the Government, can coexist with a monopoly that has proved faithless to its obligations, warred with its creators, and wreaked its vengeance on the people. The Government does not need its aid in managing its fiscal concerns.

The ever glorious fourth of July 1840, ushered to the world the SECOND DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE, in the shape of a law—solemnly divorcing THE PEOPLE'S TREASURY FROM THE GRASP OF MONOPOLY AND BANKING. But Federalism clamors still for a National Bank, in hopes to obtain one by the election of General Harrison. Upon what pretext can it claim to establish such an institution, but upon the aristocratic principle alone, of conferring chartered rights and privileges on a few at the expense of the many—vesting in a few favored stockholders, one of the highest attributes of sovereignty—empowering a monopoly to tax year by year, for half a century or more, the people of the United States more heavily than would be required

to defray the ordinary expenses of their Government—putting the profits of industry and value of property under its absolute control, and placing in its vaults the public revenue, which it has already long used for its own profit and to put down the men the people had elected to office? Would not this be revolution? An Oligarchy supplanting our free and equal institutions?

It is then perceived, that all the old pretenses of Federalism have now ceased. If it prevails it must be on its naked odious principles. It cannot allege a public debt as a ground for a National Bank—for we have no such debt—nor as a Regulator of the Currency, because it has proved impotent and worse than impotent in that respect. It cannot allege the necessity of a large revenue, and assert that in levying taxes it ought to discriminate so as to foster American industry by extravagant duties—because the public debt being paid, no such necessity exists. It cannot claim the right to divert the revenue to sectional works, because no revenue exists for that purpose. If it clamors for a High Protective Tariff, as it does, it must claim the right to tax Commerce and other branches of industry to build up Manufacturing monopolies! If it clamors for Sectional Public Works, as it does, it must boldly claim it, then, on the ground that it is right to tax one section of the country for the benefit of others. If it clamors for a Bank, as it does, it must claim it to be right to confer immeasurable, exclusive, chartered privileges on a few, at the expense of the whole people.

ARISTOCRACY, Federal Aristocracy, is in the field, fighting for the possession of the Government. General Harrison, the Black Cockade protegee of John Adams, bears up the Standard, in this crusade against the rights of the people. All the disappointed and factious ruined politicians, and men of desperate fortunes, who raise to the surface in times of excitement, have banded together under this flag. They avow no principles, because the real objects of their struggle are so odious and aristocratic, such an outrage on equal rights, that their announcement would at once array nine-tenths of the whole people against them. They struggle with the desperation of drowning men. They fight with the ferocity of famished wolves, for the plunder of the public Treasury. And shall they not be driven back? We solemnly invoke the PEOPLE to AROUSE, and look this enemy in the face—CONCENTRATE, ORGANIZE, and prepare to meet him at the polls! Let the interval between this and the election, be employed in perfecting and extending our Organization! Bring out our full strength, and the victory will be ours.

HANCOCK

From the Boston Statesmen.

### England and the United States.

The extract below from the Liverpool Standard sufficiently explains the grounds of the unparalleled efforts made by the British capitalists and manufactures to influence the pending elections in this country. If our own manufacturers are determined to play out the game of their English rivals, and our men of property are willing to devote all their energies to destroy the security of their estates in order to give the speculators another chance at obtaining them, we shall doubtless perceive, in certain localities, the effect of their influence as clearly as in the late Maine election. Unfortunately, men who are infatuated derive no benefit from experience. The result must rest with the cool headed, clear sighted and industrious portions of the community. Upon them hard cider enthusiasm loses its potency.

We learn from the papers brought home by the Great Western, that there is very little probability that the President of the United States will acquiesce in the report of the commissioners who are lately employed by the British Government in the investigation of the affairs of the disputed boundary, which report was taken out by the President. Fresh difficulties are likely to arise before this vexatious question can be satisfactorily arranged. There is every disposition on the part of the inhabitants of the northern States to take advantage of this question as the ground of an open rupture with Great Britain. Canada has been long the object of American enmity. The Democratic party in the United States are prepared to hazard a war at any moment for the sake of obtaining possession of the adjoining provinces belonging to Great Britain. This feeling is openly expressed by the great mass of the working classes. It is true that the mercantile and monied classes are anxious to cultivate amicable relations with this country. Self-interest renders peace and good will the most desirable policy to these classes. But as they constitute, numerically speaking, but a very small part of the population of the United States, there is reason for apprehending that serious impediments will intervene before the boundary question can be finally set at rest. We do not fear any active opposition from Mr. Van Buren and the Government; but we do fear the consequences which may possibly arise from the coercion of the loco focos, or extreme democrats.

At present Great Britain exercises a powerful influence over the social, political, and fiscal affairs of the United States. The depend-

ence of the planters in the south, and the commercial men in the north, upon the British market, necessarily superinduces a desire for peace on their part, while it gives this country a large amount of control over the public decisions of those bodies. The ultra-republicans of the States do not relish this control. They see that it interposes a barrier against the working out of their democratical principles. They are, therefore, anxious for a rupture; and we feel convinced that the commencement of hostilities between this country and any of the continental powers would be signal for a movement it would be difficult to speculate upon. The gross misgovernment of the Canadas by the Whigs had sadly crippled the power of Great Britain on the American continent. Whatever may be the issue of the present dispute, it is the imperative duty of the Government of this country not to yield a single inch of a territory to which we now appear to have the most indisputable title. *Fiat justitia, ruat cælum.*

### OXFORD DEMOCRAT.

PARIS, OCTOBER 6, 1840.

### Democratic Republican Nominations.

FOR PRESIDENT,  
**MARTIN VAN BUREN,**  
OF NEW YORK.

FOR VICE PRESIDENT,  
**RICHARD M. JOHNSON,**  
OF KENTUCKY.

FOR ELECTORS.

JONATHAN P. ROGERS, of Bangor.  
JOB PRINCE, of Turner.  
CORNELIUS HOLLAND, of Canton.  
SOLOMON STROUT, of Lington.  
EZEKIEL CHASE, of Atkinson.  
JOHN B. NEALEY, of Monroe.  
EDWARD FULLER, of Readfield.  
JACOB SOMES, of Mt. Desert.  
JOSEPH BERRY, of Georgetown.  
OTIS C. GROSS, of New Gloucester.

The real friends of liberty should not suffer the contemptible exhibitions of log cabins, hard cider, Coon skins and the like, to blind their eyes to the true character of whiggery. Look at the men who are fighting hardest against the present Administration. Where was Daniel Webster during the late war with Great Britain? Refusing to vote for appropriations to feed and clothe our patriotic Soldiers while battling for their country's rights! Where is he now? Just returned from England, determined to make one more desperate effort to overthrow the Democracy of this country. Where are Leverett Saltonstall and the rest of the getters up and members of the odious Hartford Convention? All, who survive, without a single exception, with the hard cider party, endeavoring to defeat the election of Mr. Van Buren. Where is Benjamin Watkins Leigh, who maintains that no man who labors with his hands should take part in the affairs of Government? We last heard of him at Bunker Hill, having left his home in Virginia to join Webster, Saltonstall & Co. in their efforts to cheat and cajole the people into a surrender of their liberty and independence. Where is the infamous band of murderers and assassins, who took the life of the noble Cilley? Aye, where are they? In the front ranks of federal whiggery, panting for the spoils.

The people of this country are a sensible and reflecting people. Let them think of these things.

The unfairness of the Federalists in continuing to charge the Democratic party with being in favor of a "standing army," the reduction of wages, &c. &c., notwithstanding the prompt and oft reiterated denial of the latter, is aptly illustrated by the anecdote of the Doctor and the two Irishmen. While on their way to this country, one of the Irishmen was struck down by a fit, and was pronounced by the Doctor to be dead. The ship's company had collected around and were about to throw him into the sea, when he suddenly revived and begged in the name of St. Patrick that they would spare his life. Highly indignant at his presumption, his companion, addressing him, said he, "I would your noise! Do you think you know better than the Doctor?" So with the Federalists, they will neither allow the Republicans to speak for themselves, nor believe them when they do speak. The operation of the banks, together with the everlasting Federal cry of "hard times," "ruin," and "distress," has more effect in reducing wages than every thing else put together.

The whigs oppose the Independent Treasury because they say its effect will be to "make the rich richer and the poor poorer." This is to cheat the laboring and poorer classes into the belief that the whigs are their exclusive friends. If this objection to the Independent Treasury were founded in truth, there is a poor man in the country who believes that the whigs would oppose it? Does not that party possess far the greater proportion of the wealth of the country. There can be no doubt of it. Is it reasonable, then, to suppose they would not favor a measure which

would put money into their own pockets? No; the fact is, the Independent Treasury is exclusively calculated to benefit the workingman by securing to him a just reward for his labor. If it would make the rich richer, the whigs would be the last ones to oppose it.

ELECTION RETURNS.—The last Augusta Age says:—"With the corrections and additions, made in most instances on the authority of letters from the towns themselves, Governor Fairfield now leads 109 votes, and is in all probability re-elected by the people."

For the Oxford Democrat.

AUGUSTA, Oct. 1, 1840.

MR. EDITOR:—Business at the Capitol goes on with a good degree of rapidity, and most seem determined to effect, honorably to themselves and usefully to the State, the important object for which they are convened, and notwithstanding the recklessness of Federal politicians I believe they will be able to effect it. It is amusing, and yet, to the reflecting, almost fearful, to see the insolence of the Federal members at the present time. It proves most clearly, that they are not only the inheritors of the blood of their tory fathers, but, that they are the true representatives of their obnoxious principles. The prospect of momentary power, even in this State, has stripped from them the covering of the sheep and exposed the very wolf, and, although they are as yet kept at bay, they are evidently aching and longing for the time to come when they can wreak their dreful vengeance upon the Democratic party.

A little occurrence happened here a day or two since, which was a most perfect exponent of their love for the people, and of their consistency as a party. A Bill was introduced for the purpose of giving unincorporated places the privilege of being organized, and of voting at home, instead of forcing them to go from twenty to one hundred miles for the purpose of exercising the right of suffrage. A Bill in perfect accordance with the Constitution, and as every Democrat will say, clearly right, for they have ever gone for the extension of the right of suffrage, and for placing within the pale of the poor man, and the pioneer of the forest, each and every of the political rights which are or can be possessed by the rich. But what was the course pursued by that party, who have all along been crying that they are the friends of the poor man—that to their party should be attached, from interest and principle, the pioneer, the dweller in Log Cabins, and all that class of noble hearted men who are willing to breast the storms and hardships of life, and by labour performed by their own hands convert the forest into fields, and build for themselves and their families a habitation, a home comfortable and happy. But what, I say, was their course. They opposed that Bill to a man. They gave it their most deadly hostility. They even went so far as to ridicule the man who goes with his axe upon his shoulder to clear away the forest. The leader of their party in the House—no less a man than a Rep. to Congress, elect—denounced the man who introduced the Bill as a "blackguard," and declared "he would treat him as such whenever he met him." Another, and a man who is a candidate for the Speaker's Chair in the next House, declared it was usurpation upon the rights of those who had taxes to pay, and called upon his "Whig" friends, if the "Locos" persisted in such an obnoxious measure, to leave their seats, and thereby prevent a quorum. But what was the result of this opposition, not daring to vote against the measure, (hoping thereby to impose upon the Democrats living in these unincorporated places), they tacitly submitted and let the Bill pass, and that too, after they had violently opposed it for a long time in a heated debate. I would that every Democrat in the State could have heard that debate; if so, they would spurn the political principles of that party from them as a thing most deadly and poisonous. I would they could but realize that that party is now practising upon the unwary the vilest tricks of chicanery and deception—that they are a party of violence, frightening and intimidating all that can be operated upon in that way—that they are a party basely corrupt, buying the votes of such men as they can operate upon in that way, thereby corrupting the elective franchise, setting at naught a principle dearer than any other to freemen, in short subverting every principle upon which our Government was founded. Those things considered, will not the Democracy of this State awake to their interest—will they not contend such men and such measures—will they not as one man rally around the ballot-box in Nov. and show to the State, the country, and the world, that they are freemen, possessing uncompromising hostility to Federal men, and Federal doctrines.

Yours, &c. THOMAS.

A MAN DROWNED.—We perceive by the Massachusetts papers, that Mr. Greenfield D. Coburn, said to be from Sumner, in this State, lost his life by drowning, a few nights since. He was walking on the Railroad and when he arrived at the bridge, which crosses the canal at the junction of the Boston and Nashua Roads, he found the gate, which is closed nights, shut. His companion,

who is also from Sumner, says that he then jumped over the railing, intending to leap upon the bank of the Nashua Road, but failed to reach it, and fell into the water. The alarm was immediately given by the man who was with him, but the body was not recovered until this morning, when it was taken from the water. There was a bruise upon one of Mr. Coburn's temples, indicating that he was injured in the fall. Mr. C. was about twenty years of age.—Eastern Argus.

From the Boston Morning Post—Extra.

The identity of the old Hartford Convention Federalists with the modern Whig Harrison party, carefully illustrated by living specimens, and dedicated to the young men of the Union.

### THE CONTRAST BETWEEN JOHN ADAMS AND THOMAS JEFFERSON IN 1804.

Where were the supporters of Harrison then? The Federalists in Massachusetts were so eager to defeat Thomas Jefferson, that they violently changed the mode of choosing Electors from Districts to a general ticket. This roused the Republicans, and the whole Electoral ticket was carried by the people for Jefferson, by a majority of 3333. It was the largest vote that had ever been given in Massachusetts, then including Maine.

Major Benjamin Russel, editor of the Centinel, said:

"The state of our national affairs, and the activity of the partisans on both sides, has given an extraordinary activity to the election. It has been emphatically a struggle whether Massachusetts would consent to become a colony of Virginia, or a free and independent State."

At that period, the supporters of Jefferson called their party Republican, but they were universally nicknamed Democrats by the Federalists, as a term of reproach. The Centinel thus announced the result of the choice of the Jefferson electoral ticket:

"The whole number of votes was 55,000.—The highest on the Democratic list was 29,310 votes. The lowest on the Federal ticket, 25,126. The general result may be thus stated: For the Federal ticket, 25,777; for the Democratic ticket, 29,310; odds, 3533."

The following extracts from the Boston Centinel of that day, will show the lines between the two parties, and the bitterness of the then Massachusetts Federalists (now Whigs) against Jefferson Democracy and Virginia:

"If Massachusetts is doomed to become a satellite of Virginia, no fault can rest on old Suffolk."

"The result of the Electoral choice in this State (for Jefferson) will not materially lessen the faith of any genuine Federalist in the ultimate triumph of the principles of the Constitution, as administered by Washington and Adams."

"Tis not in mortals to command success. They can deserve it."

Before the election, the Centinel called for a rally of its party under the name of "the true Republican Federalists, from 1788 to 1804."

Of the Democratic Electors in Massachusetts it said: "They are all pledged to vote for Jefferson and Clinton," and added:

"The white Virginians of the North dare no more deviate from their pledge to vote for Jefferson, than the black Virginians dare disobey the orders of their drivers."

Again the Centinel said:

"The Democrats continue to profane the name of Washington by opposing it to that of John Adams and associating it with that of Jefferson."

This is pretty conclusive as to what was meant by Federalist and Democrat in those days. Let us see, then, where the leaders are now. Mr. Alden Bradford is now a Harrison Whig; he was Governor Strong's Secretary in the time of the war.

In 1804, Massachusetts, including Maine, gave 19 Electoral votes.

Where were the men who were identified in that contest between Jefferson and Adams thirty-six years ago? And where are the survivors now?

Of the Democratic ticket, of which James Sullivan and Elbridge Gerry were the head, not one is living. Like the immortal signers of the Declaration, all have gone to their long homes. Of the Federal, John Adams, ticket, but two are known to be living, viz: Ebenezer Mattoon of Amherst, and Samuel S. Wilde of Hallowell.

And who is Ebenezer Mattoon? He was a John Adams elector in 1804; voted for the Hartford Convention in 1812; a "peace party" General in 1814, and a hard cider Whig in 1840. Verily, he is where he ever has been and ever means to be.

The other survivor of the John Adams ticket is the Honorable Samuel S. Wilde. We speak only of his political life; as a Judge, he is learned and venerable. Where has he been? In 1814, he was one of Gov. Caleb Strong's Council, and sanctioned the refusal of that enemy of the Union to call out the Militia as required by the President (Madison.)

On the 18th a member of in secret con He is now a Whig or "Harrison's associate He, too, is wh means to be.

This was the Federal and D Such is the publican" and "Democratic But let us r Convention, a ates of Mr. dard bearer,"

The war was know where M to says, "I am mean to be."

How was the and where are Hon. Samuel ber of the Mas solve (June 2d, deprecating the memorial to C 406 to 240. the Republican and meanly sub

Of those wh ascertained the of these, all b cates of Daniel as the standard We will giv ent: Samuel Putnam Benj. Russell, Isaac P. Davis, Wm. M. Sumner Lynde Walter, James Savage, Dudley L. Pick Thomas Greenl Barnabas Hede Wm. Baylies, Horatio Leonar Joseph Bowman Ebenezer Matto Thomas Longle

There are o suffice as a sam Not a man w except one, is thing but the n they fulfil the of the Boston D "We are i and." This was jus into the war. Seth Sprague (Democrat), was his motion, Jan cratic, (with M Clerk) voted to and present it to war. "This ord House by Chas Harrison Elect 310 to 159. Of the 13 S against the abo Solomon Strong Daniel A. Whit Peter C. Brooks

MEETING AGA July 15, 1812 Panuel Hall, w lifted James Ma tors in the meet rison Gray Otis, now living; two and are zealous Harrison. Tw means toward e street, in their

FEDE July 15, 1812 denounced the v tors in that me ardent Whigs, v Samuel S. P. F Nathaniel Aust Rev. Mr. Ripley Isaac Fiske, Re

REBEL CO This was hol was Secretary. now living, are Charles Jackson William Parson Benjamin Gorh Leverett Salton also a Delegate.

WORCE AGA In August, 1 tion met in Wor



ys that he then jump-  
ing to leap upon the  
but failed to reach it,  
the alarm was imme-  
was with him, but  
until this morning,  
water. There was  
John's temples, in-  
in the fall. Mr. C.  
ge.—*Eastern Argus.*

ing Post—*Edra.*  
Hartford Convention  
Whig Harrison  
ed by living speci-  
he young men of the

BETWEEN  
OMAS JEFFERSON

of Harrison then?  
achusetts were so ca-  
erson, that they vio-  
f choosing Electors  
ticket. This roused  
whole Electoral ticket  
Jefferson, by a ma-  
largest vote that had  
sets, then including

editor of the Centin-  
nal affairs, and the  
both sides, has given  
the election. It has  
whether Massachu-  
one a colony of Vir-  
lent State."

porters of Jefferson  
n, but they were uni-  
by the Federal-  
The Centinel thus  
choice of the Jeff-  
votes was 55,000.—  
ratic list has 29,310  
ederal ticket, 25,126.  
hus stated: For the  
he Democratic tick-

om the Boston Cen-  
the lines between the  
as of the then Mas-  
Whigs) against Jef-  
Whigs) against Jef-

om to become a  
can rest on old Suf-

toral choice in this  
ot materially lessen  
eralist in the ulti-  
of the Constitution,  
on and Adams."

entinel called for a  
name of "the true  
1788 to 1804."  
rs in Massachusetts  
edged to vote for Jef-

the North dare no  
edge to vote for Jef-

ue to profane the  
posing it to that of  
t with that of Jeff-

to what was meant  
in those days. Let  
ers are now, Mr.  
Harrison Whig; he  
tary in the time of

including Maine, gave  
were identified in  
and Adams thirty-  
are the survivors

of which James  
were the head, not  
rtal signers of the  
their long homes.  
ems, ticket, but two  
Ebenezer Mattoon  
Wilke of Hallow-

Mattoon? He was a  
voted for the Hart-  
peace party" Gen-  
Whig in 1840.—  
has been and ever

John Adams ticket  
Wilke. We speak  
Judge, he is learn-  
has been? In  
sb Strong's Coun-  
of that enemy of  
tia as required by

On the 18th of October, 1814, he was chosen  
a member of the Hartford Convention, and sat  
in secret conclave in that treasonable body.

He is now a Judge of the Supreme Court, and  
a Whig or "Harrison Democrat,"—one of Web-  
ster's associates under the "significant banner."  
He, too, is where he has ever been and ever  
means to be.

This was the beginning of the two parties,  
Federal and Democratic.

Such is the identity of the old "Federal Re-  
publican" and the new "Whig Republican," or  
"Democratic Whig" party.

But let us return to the war and the Hartford  
Convention, and see where the present associ-  
ates of Mr. Webster, in holding up their "stan-  
dard bearer," then were.

The war was declared in 1812. We all  
know where Mr. Webster was then, and his mot-  
to says, "I am where I ever have been and ever  
mean to be."

How was the Declaration received in Mass.,  
and where are the men now?

Hon. Samuel Putnam, of Salem, then a mem-  
ber of the Massachusetts House, offered a Re-  
solve (June 2d, 1812, before war was declared)  
deprecating the measure, and reported a strong  
memorial to Congress against it, which passed,  
400 to 240. The memorial was disclaimed by  
the Republicans of that day, as humiliating to us,  
and meanly submissive to Great Britain.

Of those who voted for this protest, we have  
ascertained that thirty-nine are now living, and  
of these, all but one man are active Whig asso-  
ciates of Daniel Webster in supporting Harrison  
as the standard bearer of Federalism!

We will give the names of the most promi-  
nent:

Samuel Putnam, David Wilder,  
Benj. Russell, Benj. Whitman,  
Isaac P. Davis, Charles Jackson,  
Wm. H. Sumner, Dan'l Messenger,  
Lynde Walter, Lemuel Shaw,  
James Savage, Joseph Tilden,  
Dudley L. Pickman, John Pickering,  
Thomas Greenleaf, Minot Thayer,  
Barnabas Hedge, Nahum Mitchell,  
Wm. Baylies, Samuel Crocker,  
Horatio Leonard, James Crowell,  
Joseph Bowman, Edmund Dwight,  
Ebenezer Mattoon, Ephraim Hastings,  
Thomas Longley.

There are others of lesser note, but these will  
suffice as a sample of the whole.

Not a man who signed the anti-war protest,  
except one, is now living, who ever voted any  
thing but the modern Whig ticket. How well  
they fulfil the motto of the "significant banner"  
of the Boston Delegation at Baltimore:

**"We are where we ever have been  
and ever mean to be."**

This was just before the war. Now let us go  
into the war.

Seth Sprague, of Duxbury, (now a veteran  
Democrat), was a State Senator in 1813, and on  
his motion, Jan. 29th, the Senate, then Demo-  
cratic, (with Marcus Morton, now Governor, its  
Clerk) voted to build a 74 gun ship, provision it,  
and present it to the United States to support the  
war. This order was reported against in the  
House by Charles Jackson, (the ex-Judge and  
Harrison Elector above named), and defeated,  
310 to 159.

Of the 13 Senators, still living, who voted  
against the above, there are

Solomon Strong, Silas Holtman,  
Daniel A. White, John Willis,  
Peter C. Brooks, Harrison G. Otis.

**Mr. Harrison Whigs!**

**MEETING IN FANUEL HALL  
AGAINST THE WAR.**

July 15, 1812, a great meeting was held in  
Fanuel Hall, which denounced the war and vil-  
lified James Madison. The most prominent ac-  
tors in the meeting were Daniel Sargeant, Har-  
rison Gray Otis, and Josiah Quincy. They are  
now living; two in Boston and one in Cambridge;  
and are zealously contributing to elect General  
Harrison. Two of them recently furnished  
means toward erecting the Log Cabin in Charles  
street, in their Ward.

**FEDERAL MEETING IN  
MIDDLESEX.**

July 15, 1812, a meeting in Middlesex county  
denounced the war. Of the most prominent ac-  
tors in that meeting, four are now living. All  
ardent Whigs, viz:

Samuel S. P. Fay, Judge of Probate.  
Nathaniel Austin, Agent Warren Bridge  
Rev. Mr. Ripley, Concord.  
Isaac Piske, Register of Probate.

**REBEL CONVENTION IN BOSTON.**

This was held August 6, 1812. Col. Sumner  
was Secretary. Among the Delegates, those  
now living, are

Charles Jackson, Artemas Ward,  
William Parsons, Warren Dutton,  
Benjamin Gorham. Now all Harrisonites.—  
Leverett Saltonstall, member of Congress, was  
also a Delegate.

**WORCESTER CONVENTION  
AGAINST THE WAR.**

In August, 1812, a violent Federal Con-  
vention met in Worcester county. Francis Blake,

a rabid Federalist, was at its head. He drew  
up a Declaration, in caricature imitation of the  
Declaration of Independence, of a highly trea-  
sonable character, calling on the people to with-  
draw all voluntary aid from the Government.

This Convention had 80 members. Of these,  
20 are now living; all Harrison Whigs, viz:

Elijah Burbank, Nathaniel P. Denny,  
Nathaniel Chandler, Rufus Bullock,  
Wm. Drury, Samuel Read,  
Nathan Howe, Philip Delano,  
Solomon Strong, William Crawford,  
Aaron Tufts, James Draper,  
Aaron White, Nathaniel Crocker,  
Braddock Livermore, Daniel Tenney,  
Artemas Bullard, Jona. Leland,  
Jonas Kendall, Salem Towne.

Where were the Hartford Convention leaders,  
and where are they now?

In his speech at Alexandria, June 11, 1840,  
Daniel Webster, who appointed Gen. Harrison  
his "standard bearer," exclaimed:

"Fellow citizens, we must not stop or falter  
in our opposition to the Administration, till our  
lost prosperity is restored!"

When the Federal Massachusetts Legislature  
assembled to oppose the war, preliminary to the  
Hartford Convention, Benj. Russell exclaimed in  
the Centinel:

"All the branches contain majorities of the  
friends of peace, and whatever can be done to  
restore their country to its lost prosperity, will  
be attempted."—*Boston Centinel, May 16th.*

The Federal measures to restore lost prosper-  
ity in 1814, was the Hartford Convention. The  
Whig measure to do the same in 1840, is to re-  
store the lost influence of the Hartford Con-  
vention men, by means of the Harrisburg Con-  
vention, under Harrison and Hard Cider.

**LIST OF THE SURVIVORS  
WHO VOTED FOR THE HARTFORD  
CONVENTION.**

In the Massachusetts Senate, October 8, 1814,  
Harrison Gray Otis reported the Bill for the  
Hartford Convention, which was carried, 22 to  
12. Its principal advocates were Messrs. Gray,  
Quincy, and White; all now Whigs. Of those  
who voted for it, there are now living—

Josiah Quincy, President of Harvard Uni-  
versity,  
Harrison Gray Otis, Thomas H. Perkins,  
Daniel Sargeant, of Boston.

**Essex County.**  
Samuel Putnam, Judge S. Court,  
Daniel A. White, Judge of Probate,  
Caleb Foote, Whig editor—Salem Gazette.

**Worcester County.**  
Silas Bolton—holds an office under a Whig Gov-  
ernor.

**Hamilton & Franklin Counties.**  
Samuel Lathrop, Samuel C. Allen.

**Bristol County.**  
Samuel Crocker.

**Plymouth County.**  
Wilkes Wood, Judge of Probate.

Of the above 11 survivors, out of the 22 who  
voted for the Hartford Convention, in the Sen-  
ate, all but one are decided whigs. Mr. Allen  
is now a Democrat. All the rest are

**"Where they ever have been and ever mean to be."**

**SURVIVING MEMBERS OF THE HOUSE.**

In the House, the years were 260—nays, 90.  
For Maine, 41 yeas, 21 nays; for Massachusetts  
proper, 219 yeas, and 60 nays. Those belong-  
ing to Massachusetts, who voted for the Hart-  
ford Convention are as follows, viz:

**Boston.**  
Jonathan Hunnewell, Stephen Codman,  
Benj. Russell, Benj. Whitman,  
Wm. H. Sumner, Benj. Weld, of Me.,  
Oliver Keating, Daniel Messenger,  
Wm. Harris, Geo. G. Lee,  
Lynde Walter, Lemuel Shaw,  
Thomas Barry, Richard Sullivan,  
Benj. Gorham, Wm. Sturgis.

**Essex County.**  
Dudley L. Pickman, Benj. Hawks,  
Elisha Mack, John Glen King,  
Leverett Saltonstall, Whig member of Congress,  
restorer of patriot Presidents, designated by John  
Q. Adams as just such a "monarchist as Jona.

Jackson, one of the Essex Junto, was!" [Mr.  
Saltonstall must still be a Federalist or a very  
bad man, for he says, "A Federalist, turned  
Democrat, is the worst of all politicians."]

Robert Emery, E. H. Derby,  
Nathan Felton, Sylvester Osborn,  
Joseph Farley, James Appleton,  
Jonathan Kimball, Thomas Gage,  
Josiah Little, Jonathan Gage,  
Isaac Adams, Parker Spafford,  
Timothy Osgood, David Howe.

**Middlesex County.**  
Joseph Hurd, John Soley,  
Wm. Hilliard, Royal Makepeace,  
Dudley Hall, Isaac Piske,  
Abner Wheeler, Timothy Wakefield,  
Luther Lawrence.

**Norfolk County.**  
Thomas Greenleaf, Justice of Peace.

**Plymouth County.**  
Barnabas Hedge, Benj. Bromhall,  
Nathaniel M. Davis.

**Bristol County.**  
Jonathan Ingalls, John Gilmore,  
Isaac Hodges, Daniel Anthony,  
Apollon Toby, Joseph E. Reed,  
Abner Brownell, Jirek Swift,  
J. Williams.

**Barnstable County.**  
James C. Fowell, Josiah Whitman.

**Worcester County.**  
James Draper, Daniel Carpenter,  
Samuel Read, Jonathan Wheeler,  
Wm. Cleaveland, Stephen P. Gardner,  
Samuel Sawyer, Thos. H. Blood,  
David Davis, Joseph Bowman.

**Hampshire County.**  
Asahel Birge, John Dixon,  
David Smith, Simeon Strong,  
Noah Webster.

**Hampden County.**  
Calvin Burt, Alpheus Converse,  
Alanson Knox, Asahel Wright.

**Franklin County.**  
Wm. Wells, Thomas Longley,  
Enos Smith.

**Berkshire County.**  
Henry Hubbard.

Eighty-five members of the House, who  
voted for the Hartford Convention, now living,  
(except three just deceased), and of these, all  
but two are known members of the whig party,  
and supporters of Harrison.

Adding the eleven surviving Senators, and the  
six surviving members of the Hartford Con-  
vention, who were not of the Legislature of 1814,  
and of this aggregate of 99 survivors, all but  
three are modern Whigs and Harrisonites.

Of the 69 Massachusetts members, who voted  
against the Hartford Convention, but 12 survive.  
Of these, 8 are still Democrats; one unknown,  
and but three are Harrison Whigs, viz:

Lincoln, of Worcester.  
Gurney, of Boston.  
Fish, of Falmouth.

**SURVIVING MEMBERS OF THE HARTFORD  
CONVENTION.**

The Massachusetts Legislature chose Dele-  
gates to the Convention, October 18, 1814. The  
Federalists cast 215 votes,—the Republicans all  
refusing to act in this treasonable proceeding.

The survivors of the Massachusetts Delegates  
are

Hon. S. S. Wilde, Harrison Gray Otis,  
Wm. Prescott, Hodiajah Baylies,  
Daniel Waldo, Stephen Longfellow,  
Joseph Lyman, Thomas H. Perkins.

Of Governor Strong's Council, who advised  
the Hartford Convention, two only survive; both  
whigs, viz:

Nahum Mitchell, Benjamin Pickman.

The only known survivors of the Hartford  
Convention, out of Massachusetts, are correctly  
ascertained to be

Calvin Goddard and Roger M. Sherman, of  
Connecticut;

Benjamin Hazard, of Rhode Island;  
Josiah Donham, a supernumerary from Ver-  
mont.

They are all Harrison whigs!

Here are 105 of the direct Hartford Con-  
vention school, now prominent whigs and Demo-  
crats, (?) as is pretended, of the Jefferson school!  
Add to these other survivors who supported Leg-  
islative measures to get up, or approve, that  
convention, 17 in number, and the prominent  
men in the primary conventions, still living, 18  
in number, and it gives an aggregate of **one  
hundred and forty-seven** men of that  
school—nearly all in Massachusetts—who are  
the principal advisers and actors in the efforts to  
restore the days of the Black Cockade; and, in  
the language of their Exponent, Daniel Web-  
ster, have made Wm. H. Harrison their "Stan-  
dard bearer."

To these men, the prominent Whig Counsel-  
ors in Massachusetts, General Wilson says, is  
owing the nomination of General Harrison—  
Massachusetts did it, and if the whig party of  
Mass. directed the movement, who doubts that  
it is what Judge Hopkinton of Pennsylvania de-  
scribed, viz: *The old Federal party striving  
again to get into power!*

Mr. Emory.—Here are facts and figures to iden-  
tify the present Whig Harrison party with the old  
Hartford Convention party. Facts which are proved  
by dates and names,—facts which are taken from  
records as perfect as human records can be. The  
Whig party have been challenged to prove this record  
and statement of facts to be false; but they are dumb.  
They do not, and cannot, put forth a syllable in con-  
tradiction to this statement. With what kind of  
grace, then, can they denounce the Democratic, as  
did old Federal party, when the Harrison party itself  
contains all, or nearly all, the original seeds of Fed-  
eralism? Does it not look like bare-faced impudence?  
like bold and unscrupulous denunciation?

Treason and opposition to the General Govern-  
ment, under Madison, were the objects of the Hart-  
ford Convention. It was a bold and unprecedented  
effort of a few States to resist the majority,—it was  
a tremendous effort to sever this Union; or, if it had  
not that object in view, it had that tendency. Else  
why did the name of Federalist bring such deep and  
lasting disgrace? Federalist, in the time of old John  
Adams, was a name which many of the best men in  
this country wore. It was synonymous with Demo-

crat and Democratic Republican. What sunk the  
name in disgrace? It was nothing less than the  
Hartford Convention, which, containing, as it did,  
the greater part of the John Adams supporters, and the  
opponents of Thomas Jefferson and James Madison,  
identified the Federal party of '98, with the anti-war  
or Federal, party of 1812. At this time the name of  
Federalist became contemptible, and was considered  
by the people as synonymous with rebel or traitor.—  
And where now are these Traitors? Look at the  
record, and you will find that out of ONE HUNDRED  
AND FORTY-SEVEN MEN NOW LIVING,  
WHO SUPPORTED, GOT UP, AND APPROV-  
ED THE HARTFORD CONVENTION, ALL  
ARE NOW PROMINENT WHIGS AND HAR-  
RISON MEN!! Verily, are not the old Federal  
party, in assuming the name of "Democratic Whig,"  
"Stealing the livery of Heaven to serve the Devil  
in?"

**DISGRACEFUL.**

To that party which claims "all the religion,"  
we commend the following facts as worthy of  
their attentive consideration. The Jackson  
Democrat, of Washington, Indiana, says, "the  
Tippecanoe delegation from that place on  
SUNDAY, paraded in the streets, with ban-  
ners, flags, trumpets and horsemen, prepara-  
tory for a start on that day for the massacre ground  
at Tippecanoe."

The Sentinel, printed at Evansville, Indiana,  
says, "the pious delegation from Terre-Haute,  
returned the next SUNDAY, and paraded the  
streets of that town amid the roar of artillery,  
and the beating of drums. Some of the dele-  
gates amused themselves on board the boats,  
on that day, by card playing. So much for  
those who boast of possessing "all the religion."  
*Allegiance.*

GENTLEMEN WHIGS!—We have a word or  
two to say to you. Keep cool—keep good na-  
tured. If you are to be successful, you can  
afford to be pleasant—if you are to be beaten,  
it will not help the matter to fret about it.—  
You have fought three battles handsomely, viz:  
in North Carolina, Vermont, and Maine—the  
democrats have done the same in Alabama, Illi-  
nois, and Missouri. Now, let us go into the  
smoke like men—each with a manly cause as  
becomes a man—not with churlishness of chil-  
dren. That the democrats will fog you most  
unmercifully before the contest is ended, we  
are confident; but you have discovered a  
spirit in your cause that deserves consideration,  
and we wish to make your final and eternal  
downfall as easy as possible; therefore, do not  
bite your own noses off, in your joy at success,  
or despair at defeat.—*Statesman.*

**ALL OVER THE COUNTRY** the democ-  
rats are rallying with a firmness and zeal that  
betokens a glorious victory in November. The  
triumphs of the whigs in one or two States will  
only arouse them to action, and induce them to  
bring a force into the field that will overwhelm  
their opponents. When the grand contest  
comes on, the hard cider tapsters will be ob-  
liged to stand back for the real people. The  
sham hero will be stripped of his trappings, and  
the country relieved from a mummy which is  
disgracing its character and demoralizing its  
citizens.

**GEN. HARRISON'S OPINION OF A NATIONAL  
BANK**—as set forth in the State Address of the  
whigs of Virginia:—"As to the Bank, his un-  
qualified declaration, that it was unconstitutional,  
is before you, and THERE IS NO REA-  
SON TO BELIEVE THAT HE IS IN  
FAVOR OF A BANK IN ANY FORM,  
for he is assuredly opposed to a Government  
Bank." What do the Bank whigs of New  
England think of this? Do they so interpret  
the mystic oracles of the committee?

**Democrats!** in cities and towns, prepare for  
action! The enemy is pressing hotly upon us,  
and if we would preserve our rights, we must  
be vigilant. See that your committees are full,  
and above all, that means are taken to ensure  
the attendance of every democratic voter at the  
polls on the day of election.—*Statesman.*

The Boston Courier, wants to know what  
town in Oxford County it is, where a lot of Fed-  
eral votes was found in the Ballot Box, at the  
late election. The Courier shall have the name  
of the town, and all the facts in the case, in a  
few days, from an authentic source.—*Eastern  
Argus.*

**MARRIED.**

In Minot, Mr. James S. Nash to Miss Mary Ann  
Keith.  
In Weld, Mr. Peter C. Thomas, of Byron, to Miss  
Mary S. Sanborn.

**DIED.**

In Minot, 9th inst. Mrs. Hannah Reynolds, aged 34.  
In Dixfield, Sept. 8, Humphrey M. Eaton, aged 41.  
In Fairfield, 22d inst. Mrs. Mary E. Merrill, aged 40.

**COPARTNERSHIP.**

THE subscribers have this day formed a Copartner-  
ship under the firm of

**BUTTERFIELD & SMALL,**

and have purchased the Stock and taken the stands re-  
cently occupied by Butterfield & Washburn and Geo.  
Small, Nos. 1 & 2, Morton's Buildings, Congress street,  
where they will keep a good assortment of

**W. I. Goods & Groceries,**  
at Wholesale and Retail, at the lowest market prices, for  
Cash or approved credit, also, all kinds of Country  
Produce and Lumber taken in exchange for Goods.

ISAAC BUTTERFIELD,  
JOHN M. SMALL.

N. B. All persons indebted to the late firm of BUT-  
TERFIELD & WASHBURN, are requested to call  
on me at the old stand, to have the same adjusted, as  
I have purchased all Notes and Accounts of the late firm.

ISAAC BUTTERFIELD.

Portland, Sept. 14, 1840.

**SYNOPSIS OF THE  
BRANDRETHIAN METHOD  
OF  
CURING DISEASE,  
FROM WHATEVER CAUSE ARISING;  
(ALL ENDING IN THE SAME EFFECT—I. E.  
PRODUCING IMPURE BLOOD AND FLUIDS.)  
WITH ONE, AND  
ONLY ONE MEDICINE.**

*The capability of which has been fully proved,  
beyond the power of malignity, envy, or malice  
to gainsay.*

Firstly.—PAIN never exists but from CORRUPT HU-  
MORS being seated in the identical part where the pain is ex-  
perienced. These corrupt humors, when in sufficient quantity  
to overpower the principle of life, are the positive cause of  
death.

It is a solemn truth, that corruption terminates the existence  
of all created beings; the impurity of its speedy removal from  
the body, when by any cause it has accumulated, is therefore  
self-evident; not only health, but EXISTENCE being abso-  
lutely endangered by its presence.

Let us then acknowledge that the only sure means of prevent-  
ing and curing disease, is the speedy removal of corrupt hu-  
mors from the body. Disease being only another name for cor-  
ruption, and corruption, or corrupt humors, being identified  
in all cases as impurity of blood.

Secondly.—PURGATIVE MEDICINE, when resorted to  
in season, that is, while there is sufficient vitality remaining in  
the system, will remove the corruption; thus the blood is puri-  
fied; thus chronic infirmities and premature death are prevent-  
ed; thus, those whose lives are of the utmost importance to their  
country and families, are often saved.

The importance of this discovery of purgative medicine, after ages  
will do justice to. Dr. Brandreth will not let an  
ignorant pretender. But that will please no or-  
fend him; in the rectitude of his own acts, in the quiet of his  
own conscience, is his reward.

The great, the immortal Bacon says, "It is impossible to  
calculate how many days may be added to the life of man, by  
the occasional use of purgative medicines, that their effect upon  
the human frame is the same that pruning trees has upon them.  
The excessiveness are removed, and a new stimulus is created.  
That when constant, excessive cannot be used from any cause,  
the occasional use of Purgative Medicine is absolutely required.  
Thus, the conduits of the blood, the fountain of life, are kept  
free from impurities which would prevent its steady current  
maintaining health. Thus, morbid humors are prevented from  
becoming mixed with it. It is nature which is thus assisted  
through the means and outlets which she has provided for  
herself."

With such authority as this before us, we may indeed use the  
expression,

**—CLEANSE AND PURIFY THE BODY.—**  
The application of the principles of Purgation being allowed  
to one of the greatest utility in the cure and prevention of  
disease, it is of the greatest consequence, and invariably a  
cure in the UNIVERSAL ROOF of all diseases, namely  
impure or imperfect circulation of the BLOOD.

They remove all noxious accumulations, and purify and invig-  
orate the blood, and their good effects are not counterbalanced  
by any inconvenience; being composed entirely of Vegetables,  
they do not expose those who use them to danger; and their  
effects are as certain as they are salutary; they are daily and  
safely administered to youth, manhood, infancy and old age—  
and to women in the most critical and delicate circumstances,  
—they do not disturb or shock the animal functions, but restore  
their order and re-establish their health.

And for all the purposes which a Vegetable Purgative, or  
certain cleanser of the whole system are required, they stand  
without a rival, they are allowed to BE ALL that can be ac-  
complished by Medicine both for Power and Innocence.—  
A trial insures a patron. No cure or exposure is required  
from its manufacture. Dr. Brandreth being too jealous of his own  
fame to trust the mixing to any one. He attends to that de-  
partment with his own hands, and guarantees the medicine  
to be always equal to what it has been. The states of Melanch-  
olia and Illness resound with the praises of this valuable medi-  
cine. Persons are often known to ride 60 miles through the  
woods for a box. The Brandreth Pills are indeed a sure and  
speedy cure for Fever and Ague, and those miserable Billous  
Fever with which, unfortunately, those districts abound.

Therefore, the Brandrethian Method of curing all kinds of  
disease, has now no longer to contend with the blind prejudices  
of the greatest portion of the public; it is only with those few  
who still are determined "to be killed according to rule," like  
the people of old, who "would have" a King to reign over  
them." But, thanks to the circulation of newspapers—thanks  
to the general diffusion of knowledge, which enables nineteen  
twentieths of the people to read and judge for themselves—  
now we no longer believe in swallowing that deadly mineral,  
Mercury, professing to cure—but universally leaving us in a  
worse condition after its use.

We no longer believe in the absurd notion that inflammation  
of any kind can be cured by abstracting our life—our Blood.  
It is now well understood that an inflammation is a wise or-  
dinance of nature, a signal that she requires the assistance of pur-  
gative medicine, to ease her of the oppressive burden which she  
proves, by the high fever and strong pulse, is wanted to be  
removed. In other words, the body calls for a vegetable cleans-  
ing.

It is then Brandreth's Pills should be used, which have in a  
period of little more than four years, in the United States,  
restored to a state of health and enjoyment over ONE HUN-  
DRED THOUSAND persons, who were given over as in-  
curable by physicians of the first rank and standing, and in  
many cases when every other remedy had been resorted to in  
vain.

In all cases of pain or weakness, whether it be chronic or  
recent, whether it be in the head or in the side, whether it  
arise from constitutional or some other immediate cause, whether  
it be from mental or external injury, it will be cured by  
persevering in the use of the Pills.

To avoid counterfeits, NEVER FORGET to ask for the  
Certificate of Agency. See that it is dated within the  
twelve months next preceding. That it contains a minute  
view of our Laboratory at Sing Sing. The name of the  
person holding the same is written thereon. And that it is  
signed with a pen, B. BRANDRETH, M. D., with my  
Family Arms stamped upon the paper.

Sub Agents in Maine will hereafter receive their supplies  
from the New England Office.

**19 HANOVER STREET 19**  
**THE ONLY OFFICE IN BOSTON FOR DR. BEN-  
JAMIN BRANDRETH'S VEGETABLE UNI-  
VERSAL PILLS.**

Or of MR JOHN O. LANGLEY,  
Who is DR. BRANDRETH'S duly authorized Travelling  
Agent for the State of Maine.



